

CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

- Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.
- Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility to the county where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the appropriate CalFresh Bureau unit manager.

1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Policy/Regulation Interpretation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> QC <input type="checkbox"/> Fair Hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	5. DATE OF REQUEST: 11/15/17	NEED RESPONSE BY: 11/16/17
2. REQUESTOR NAME:	6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: Sacramento County	
3. PHONE NO.:	7. SUBJECT: Complete Ineligibility	
4. REGULATION CITE(S):	8. REFERENCES: <i>(Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references)</i> NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s). FNS 310 Section 441	

9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):

During a QC interview a client reports that she got benefits from two different counties in the review month. Per FNS310 section 441 case is totally ineligible. How should this be proceed in RADEP? Is it required that all elements be completed, or should the review be considered terminated, and further elements are not necessary to complete?

10. REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:

11. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):

When duplicate participation occurs, QC must review to the allotment that was sampled and determine whether the Agency was correct in issuing those benefits to the HH.

Example: HH applied in County A. Later, the HH moved to County B. The HH applied for CF benefits in County B, and was approved. The allotment under review (sampled) was from County B. QC would cite a total ineligibility, because the HH was not entitle to benefits in County B, until County A terminated the case.

Refer to the FNS 310, Section 844.2 for more examples.

Required fields in RADEP: Complete all the fields listed under Case Info, Shelter & Error Findings. Under Reporting Requirements complete: 560-F001 & 560-S001.

FOR CDSS USE

DATE RECEIVED:

DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:

11/30/17